

COUNCIL ACTION FORM

SUBJECT: REPORT OF BIDS FOR 2016 SKATE PARK RENOVATION PROJECT

BACKGROUND:

This project is to make needed repairs to the Skate Park and to add additional features as funds allow. The base bid included repairing and/or replacing concrete sections, sealing cracks, repairing coping, filling popouts, and adding two additional skate elements. These two elements include an LA Highbank on the west side of the park and a five-foot Floating Ramp on the northwest corner. Alternate 1 adds a five-foot Floating Ramp to the southwest corner of the park, while Alternate 2 adds a twelve-foot Grind Edge to the east side.

Within the FY 2013/14 Capital Improvements Plan, \$75,000 was appropriated to replace concrete sections at the skate park. Initial cost estimates from a local consultant suggested that costs would exceed the appropriated CIP amount. As a result of that new information, an additional \$30,000 was added to the project to ensure all recommended repairs were completed, for a total project budget of \$105,000.

American Ramp Company (ARC) was hired and conducted a public input session with users in FY 2014/15 to gain feedback regarding issues, concerns, and what skating elements should be added to the park. **ARC estimated the total project cost to be \$115,790 which included the base bid, Alternates 1 & 2, and design fees.**

Bid Specifications and Drawings were sent out to nine firms specializing in skate park repair and construction. Only one bid was received for the project:

Bidder	Base Bid	Alternate #1	Alternate #2	Total Bid with Alternates
Spohn Ranch	\$141,475.46	\$5,292.76	\$2,982.15	\$149,750.37

If the design fee cost of \$10,000 is added to the total bid for repairs and new elements of \$149,750.37, this brings the total project cost to nearly \$160,000. In order to complete the base bid and Alternates 1 & 2, an additional \$54,750.37 would be needed.

Since bids were opened on March 30 and were higher than expected, staff has not had sufficient time to determine if additional funding is available to move forward with this project or if the plans and specifications should be changed and rebid. Staff also desires to contact the non-bidding companies to gain insights as to why they did not submit bids and to see if there is anything that should be changed in the plans and specifications.

ALTERNATIVES:

1. Accept the report of bids for the Skate Park Renovation Project, but do not award a contract at this time.

This delay will allow staff time to determine if rebidding the project is a viable option, as well as to examine in greater detail other CIP projects and determine if additional funding is available.

2. Reject all bids.

MANAGER'S RECOMMENDED ACTION:

The proposed project will address needed repairs and add additional skating elements to a well-used facility in the park system. Completing the entire project including Alternates 1 and 2 would add uniqueness to the Skate Park and demonstrate to users that the City is continually committed to providing excellent parks and facilities. However, staff needs additional time to determine if the project should be rebid and to review other CIP projects to determine if additional funding is available.

Therefore, it is the recommendation of the City Manager that the City Council adopt Alternative No. 1 as described above.