COUNCIL ACTION FORM

SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF RETURNED ASSET CONTRACTS FOR 2014/2015

BACKGROUND:

During its February 2014 budget decisions, the City Council approved a total of \$1,139,226 in ASSET contract allocations for the upcoming fiscal year. Contract documents were mailed to the various agencies last month. The following contracts have been returned, and the corresponding approved amounts are listed below. These contracts are now being presented for the City Council's approval.

	Allocation 2014/2015	Current 2013/2014	Increase
ACCESS	\$71,007	\$69,983	\$1,024
ACPC	\$81,688	\$78,546	\$3,142
Red Cross	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$0
Big Brothers Big Sisters	\$0	\$0	\$0
Boys & Girls Club	\$94,000	\$92,500	\$1,500
Camp Fire USA	\$6,443	\$6,136	\$307
Center for Creative Justice	\$52,948	\$52,648	\$300
ChildServe	\$17,700	\$17,660	\$0
Emergency Residence Project	\$66,799	\$63,900	\$2,899
Eyerly Ball	\$0	\$12,875	-\$12,875
Visiting Nurses	\$3,382	\$3,382	\$0
Good Neighbor	\$16,200	\$16,200	\$0
Heartland Senior Services	\$152,638	\$148,374	\$4,264
HIRTA	\$40,133	\$40,000	\$133
HOMEWARD	\$39,775	\$36,280	\$3,495
Legal Aid Society	\$82,244	\$80,675	\$1,569
Lutheran Services in Iowa	\$3,500	\$2,825	\$675
MICA	\$51,492	\$33,065	\$18,427
NAMI	\$5,463	\$5,450	\$13
Orchard Place	\$6,165	\$6,165	\$0
Raising Readers	\$7,690	\$0	\$7,690
RSVP	\$27,688	\$26,900	\$788
ARC	\$6,418	\$7,300	-\$882
The Salvation Army	\$36,249	\$24,497	\$11,752
University Community Childcare	\$47,982	\$44,020	\$3,962
Volunteer Center of Story County	\$7,000	\$7,000	\$0
YSS	<u>\$205,622</u>	<u>\$199,597</u>	<u>\$6,025</u>
	\$1,139,226	\$1,084,978	\$54,248

Two contracts have not yet been returned. Those contracts will be forwarded to the Council as they are received and/or corrected.

ALTERNATIVES:

- 1. The City Council may approve the returned ASSET agency contracts as presented.
- 2. The City Council may deny approval for any or all contracts.

MANAGER'S RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Council made the decision at budget time to purchase specific human services from the agencies listed above.

Therefore, it is the recommendation of the City Manager that the City Council adopt Alternative #1, thereby approving the 2014/15 ASSET agency contracts returned and presented for City Council approval.