



City of Ames – Study Basis

- Facility sizing 300 tpd
- RDF to Gasification
 - 85% of processed MSW (i.e. 43,800 tpy in 2012)
 - 15% ash residue/char (estimated wet basis)
 - Syngas energy content 65% of RDF heating value
- MSW to Mass-Burn
 - 56,000 tpy MSW available + yard waste
 - Post-combustion recovery of ferrous/non-ferrous
 - 28% ash residue (wet basis)







Gasification Scenarios

- Scenario 1 gasification of RDF and combustion of the syngas in the City's existing coal-fired boilers. Syngas conditioning would include cooling the syngas.
- Scenario 2 gasification of RDF to produce syngas and inject the syngas as a supplemental fuel into each of the City's existing boilers which are presumed in this scenario to have been converted to natural gas-fired. Syngas conditioning would include cooling the syngas.







Gasification Scenarios

- Scenario 3 gasification of RDF to produce syngas and inject syngas as a supplemental fuel in a natural gas-fired combustion turbine generator set connected to electrical distribution grid.
 Syngas conditioning will include cooling, acid gas removal and particulate control.
- Scenario 4 gasification of RDF to produce syngas and combustion of syngas in a dedicated internal combustion generator set connected to electrical distribution grid. Syngas conditioning will include cooling, acid gas removal and particulate control.







Gasification Scenarios

• Scenario 5 – gasification of RDF to produce syngas and combustion of syngas in a dedicated package boiler with associated generator set connected to electrical distribution grid. Owing to the direct combustion of the syngas, no conditioning equipment will be required.







Mass Burn Waste-to-Energy Scenarios

- Scenario 6a construction and operation of a mass burn electrical generation plant using raw MSW.
- Scenario 6b construction and operation of a mass burn electrical generation plant using shredded MSW.







Permitting Review

- Small power production facility exemption not qualify due to co-location with existing EGP
- Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD)
 pre-construction permitting program
- All scenarios require construction permits
- Greenhouse gases emission reductions







Expected Performance by Scenario

- 1 65% of RDF Energy in Syngas
- 2 65% of RDF Energy in Syngas
- 3 Varies from 431 to 471 kWh/ton of RDF
- 4 Varies from 555 to 599 kWh/ton of RDF
- 5 500 kWh/ton of RDF
- 6a 600 kWh/ton of MSW
- 6b 590 kWh/ton of MSW







Probable Capital Costs

- Site work
- Site improvements
- Gasification equipment
- Buildings
- Power block equipment
- Permitting
- Design/engineering
- 20% contingency

	Capital Cost				
Scenarios	(2012\$)				
Gasification Systems					
1	\$34,500,000				
2	\$33,800,000				
3	\$59,200,000				
4	\$77,300,000				
5	\$79,100,000				
Mass-Burn Facilities					
6a	\$80,500,000				
6b	\$85,300,000				







Annual O&M Cost Components

Gasification Systems

- Purchase of RDF
- RDF storage bins O&M
- Labor
- Routine maintenance/ equipment replacement
- Utilities
- Emissions control (chemicals)
- Ash residue disposal
- Misc. supplies, G&A, etc.
- Insurance
- 10% contingency

Mass Burn Waste-to-Energy

- Labor
- Routine maintenance/ equipment replacement
- Fuel costs (mobile equip)
- Utilities
- Emissions control (chemicals)
- Ash residue disposal
- Bypass/non-processible waste disposal
- Annual stack testing
- Misc. supplies, G&A, etc.
- Insurance
- 10% contingency







Revenues and Avoided Cost Components

- Avoided Costs
 - Reduced RDF landfill haul & disposal costs (Scenarios 1-5)
 - Yard waste management costs (Scenarios 6a/6b)
 - EGP: RDF ash removal & disposal costs
 - EGP: RDF storage bins O&M
 - EGP: Boiler maintenance costs
 - EGP: Extra cost of power to burn RDF
- Energy Revenue (syngas or electric sales)
- Metals Recovery Revenue (Scenarios 6a/6b)
- Tipping Fee & Per Capita Revenue (Scenarios 6a/6b)
- No renewable energy credits or carbon credits included in Base Case





Business Case Analysis

- Implementation Schedule
- Financing/Debt Service
 - Tax-exempt revenue bonds: 6% gasification scenarios, 5% mass burn scenarios
 - Bond issuance fees 4%
 - Reinvestment rates 2%
 - Annual escalation capital costs 4%
 - One-year debt service reserve
- 20-year Pro Formas







Capital and O&M Costs Summary

	Tonnage Thro	oughput ²				
Scenarios	ТРУ	TPD ³	Capital Cost ⁴ (2012\$)	Annual Net Debt Service	Annual O&M ⁵ (2012\$)	
Gasification	on Systems					
1	46,000	148	\$34,500,000	\$3,940,000	\$3,350,000	
2	46,000	148	\$33,800,000	\$3,860,000	\$3,350,000	
3	46,000	148	\$59,200,000	\$6,980,000	\$4,970,000	
4	46,000	148	\$77,300,000	\$9,120,000	\$4,730,000	
5	46,000	148	\$79,100,000	\$9,650,000	\$6,090,000	
Mass-Buri	n Facilities					
6a	63,000	203	\$80,500,000	\$9,380,000	\$7,110,000	
6b	63,000	203	\$85,300,000	\$9,940,000	\$7,340,000	







Revenues Summary: Scenarios 1 - 5

Net Energy Generation				Annual	Net Revenues/(Expenses)4				
	Syngas			Energy		Net w/ RRP &			
	MMBtw/	kWh/	Avoi ded	Revenues ³	Net w/out	EGP Avoided			
Scenarios	ton RDF	ton RDF	Costs ² (2012\$)	(2012\$)	Avoided Costs	Costs			
1	6.7		\$3,080,000	\$1,050,000	(\$6,210,000)	(\$3,040,000)			
2	6.7		\$3,080,000	\$1,050,000	(\$6,140,000)	(\$2,960,000)			
3	3	431	\$5,920,000	\$1,340,000	(\$10,530,000)	(\$4,810,000)			
4	126 12	555	\$5,920,000	\$1,220,000	(\$12,420,000)	(\$6,710,000)			
5		500	\$5,920,000	\$1,100,000	(\$14,490,000)	(\$8,770,000)			







Revenues Summary: Scenarios 6a/6b

Net Energy Generation			Annual	Tip Fee & Per	Add'l Net Revenues/(Expenses)		
_	TV S		1 6	Energy	Capita	X I BOOK	2000
	Annual	kWh/	Avoided	Revenues ⁴	Revenues ⁵	Net w/out	Net w/ Avoided
Scenarios	kWh/yr²	ton MSW	Costs ³ (2012\$)	(2012 \$)	(2012 \$)	Avoided Costs	Costs
6a	35,280,000	600	\$5,410,000	\$1,760,000	\$4,040,000	(\$10,160,000)	(\$5,080,000)
6b	34,692,000	590	\$5,410,000	\$1,730,000	\$4,040,000	(\$10,960,000)	(\$5,870,000)







Findings/Conclusions

- Current MSW deliveries 56,000 tpy
 - Approx. 180 tpd
 - Supplemental waste needed to maximize capacity;
 may or may not provide more revenues depending on scenario
- Scenarios 1 and 2 least cost options for RDF gasification
- Avoided costs are reinvested as revenues rather than realized as actual budget savings
- Additional revenues required for all Scenarios





