

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE AMES CITY COUNCIL

AMES, IOWA

AUGUST 24, 2021

The Regular Meeting of the Ames City Council was called to order by Mayor John Haila at 6:01 p.m. on August 24, 2021, in the City Council Chambers in City Hall, 515 Clark Avenue, pursuant to law. Present were Council Members Gloria Betcher, Bronwyn Beatty-Hansen, Amber Corrieri, Tim Gartin, and Rachel Junck. Council Member David Martin was brought in electronically. *Ex officio* Member Trevor Poundstone was absent.

PROCLAMATION FOR “NATIONAL OVERDOSE AWARENESS DAY,” AUGUST 31, 2021: Mayor Haila proclaimed August 31, 2021, as “National Overdose Awareness Day,” in honor of those whose lives were taken too soon and in the hopes that, by education and access to services, the City may help others get well. Police Commander Jason Tuttle mentioned that the Department has done a lot of work with Natasha Terrones and is thankful for everything Ms. Terrones does to bring attention to the opioid crisis in Ames. Natasha Terrones, a mother who lost her child to overdosing, accepted the Proclamation. Ms. Terrones explained that her daughter, Tashara Torrenes, passed away on December 17, 2016, due to a synthetic opioid drug overdose. At the time of her daughter’s death, police officers in Ames did not carry Narcan in their vehicles. It has been her mission and journey since losing her daughter to make sure each police car has Narcan available. Ms. Terrones stated it has been devastating for her family, but she found her strength in fighting for those that find themselves up against a substance abuse disorder and trying to end the stigma. When she looks at the opioid crisis and overdose in the nation; COVID has overshadowed the opioid crisis. Unfortunately, there was not a decrease in substance abuse, but the numbers sky-rocketed due to COVID. Ms. Torrenes mentioned that she will continue to fight until she takes her last breath. There will be an event on August 28, 2021, at Ada Hayden from 10:00 a.m. to noon. During the event there will be free Narcan training and those who participate will receive a voucher to receive a dose to have on hand.

PROCLAMATION FOR “AMES ART MONTH,” SEPTEMBER 2021: The month of September 2021 was proclaimed as “Ames Art Month” by Mayor Haila. He encouraged all citizens to support the arts in Ames. Accepting the Proclamation was Heather Johnson, Director of the Octagon Center for the Arts. She thanked the following Ames organizations for supporting the Proclamation: Octagon Center for the Arts; ACTORS; Ames Chamber of Commerce; Ames Commission on the Arts; Ames Community Arts Council; Ames History Museum; Ames Main Street, Ames Public Art Commission; Ames Town and Gown, Chamber Music Association; Campustown Action Association; ISU College of Design; Creative Artists’ Studios of Ames; ISU Department of Music and Theater; Iowa Youth Ballet; KHOI Community Radio; Origami Club of Central Iowa; Robert Thomas Dance Center; Royal Scottish Country Dance Society of Central Iowa; Story Theater Company; ISU University Museums; and Wild Rose English Country Dance. Ms. Johnson thanked everyone for acknowledging how much the arts do play in the community. Supporting the arts is investing in the community and can lead to a greater overall growth. There is an undeniable link between the creative industries and the community. She stated that art binds people to a place and to each other, and a recent report from “The Americans for the Art” showed that for every dollar spent on the arts there is a return investment of \$7.00. Ms. Johnson reminded

the public to check the organizations' calendars for upcoming events throughout the year. The Arts Festival will be held September 26, 2021, in Downtown Ames.

CONSENT AGENDA: Council Member Betcher requested to pull, for further discussion, Item No. 10: Resolution approving appointment of the Climate Action Plan Supplemental Input Committee Members.

Moved by Betcher, seconded by Corrieri, to approve the following items on the Consent Agenda.

1. Motion approving payment of claims
2. Motion approving Special City Council meeting held August 3, 2021, and Regular Minutes of August 10, 2021
3. Motion approving Report of Change Orders for period August 1 - 15, 2021
4. Motion approving new 5-day (September 18 - 22, 2021) Class C Liquor License for The Girls Catering Inc., at Reiman Gardens, 1407 University Boulevard
5. Motion approving ownership change for Class C Liquor License with Sunday Sales - Inside Golf, 2801 Grand Ave #1075
6. Motion approving renewal of the following Beer Permits, Wine Permits and Liquor Licenses:
 - a. Class E Liquor License with Class B Wine Permit, Class C Beer Permit (Carryout Beer) and Sunday Sales - Cyclone Liquors, 626 Lincoln Way
 - b. Class C Liquor License with Sunday Sales - Inside Golf, 2801 Grand Ave #1075
7. Motion approving request for Fireworks Permits for display from Jack Trice Stadium for ISU Home Football Games on the following dates:
 - a. Saturday, September 4
 - b. Saturday, September 11
 - c. Saturday, October 2
 - d. Saturday, October 23
 - e. Saturday, November 6
 - f. Saturday, November 27
8. Request from Ames High School Homecoming Parade on September 20, 2021:
 - a. Motion approving Blanket Temporary Obstruction Permit
 - b. RESOLUTION NO. 21-451 approving closure of City Parking Lot MM, southern three aisles of City Parking Lot M, and a portion of CBD Lot Z from 5:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. for parade staging
 - c. RESOLUTION NO. 21-452 approving closure of 5th Street from Grand Avenue to Pearle Avenue; Pearle Avenue; Main Street from Pearle Avenue to Duff Avenue; Clark Avenue from north of the CBD lot exit to 5th Street; Burnett Avenue from Main Street to 5th Street; and Kellogg Avenue from north of the CBD lot exit to Main Street, from 6:00 p.m. to approximately 7:30 p.m.
 - d. RESOLUTION NO. 21-453 approving waiver of parking meter fees and enforcement from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. for 55 metered parking spaces in Lot N
9. Ames Velo Grand Prix:
 - a. Ames Main Street Criterium on Saturday, September 18, 2021:
 - i. Motion approving blanket Temporary Obstruction Permit for the closed area

- ii. RESOLUTION NO. 21-454 approving waiver of Road Race Permit
- iii. RESOLUTION NO. 21-455 approving closure of Main Street from Clark Avenue to Douglas Avenue, Douglas Avenue from Main Street to Sixth Street, Sixth Street from Douglas Avenue to Burnett Avenue, Burnett Avenue from Sixth Street to Main Street, Fifth Street from Douglas Avenue to Clark Avenue, Kellogg Avenue from Main Street to Sixth Street, and Clark Avenue from Fifth Street to Main Street from 3:45 p.m. to 11:00 p.m.
- iv. RESOLUTION NO. 21-456 approving closure of 187 metered parking spaces from 2:30 p.m. to 11:00 p. m. along the race route and approving suspension of parking enforcement
- b. ISU Research Park Circuit Race on Sunday, September 19, 2021:
 - i. Motion approving blanket Temporary Obstruction Permit
 - ii. Motion approving blanket Vending License
 - iii. RESOLUTION NO. 21-457 approving waiver of fee for blanket Vending License
 - iv. RESOLUTION NO. 21-458 approving waiver of Road Race Permit
 - v. RESOLUTION NO. 21-459 approving closure of Collaboration Place, Plaza Loop, University Blvd from Collaboration Place to Airport Road (northbound lane only; southbound lane to remain open to traffic), Airport Road from University Boulevard to South Riverside Drive (one eastbound lane only; the road will remain open to both east - and westbound traffic), and South Riverside Drive (southbound lane only; northbound lane to remain open to traffic) from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.
- 10. RESOLUTION NO. 21-461 authorizing Certified Local Government Grant Application to fund an Intensive Survey of Chautauqua Park & Ridgewood Subdivisions
- 11. RESOLUTION NO. 21-462 awarding Contract to Gillig Corporation of Livermore, California, for the purchase of eight 40-foot Heavy-Duty Diesel Buses for CyRide in an amount not to exceed \$4,104,257
- 12. Homewood Slope Stabilization:
 - a. RESOLUTION NO. 21-463 approving Change Order No. 4 in the amount of (\$20,112.67)
 - b. RESOLUTION NO. 21-464 accepting completion
- 13. RESOLUTION NO. 21-465 accepting completion of Ioway Creek Restoration & Flood Mitigation - River Flooding (Tree Clearing)
- 14. RESOLUTION NO. 21-466 accepting completion of 2017/18 Main Street Pavers Project (Clark to Burnett)

Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Motions/Resolutions declared carried/adopted unanimously, signed by the Mayor, and hereby made a portion of these Minutes.

APPOINTMENT OF THE CLIMATE ACTION PLAN SUPPLEMENTAL INPUT COMMITTEE MEMBERS: Council Member Betcher explained that she had pulled this item from Consent in order to make the community aware that the Climate Action Plan Supplemental Input Committee is diverse when it comes to its make-up. The Committee includes not only adult members

of the community, but also Middle School, High School, and College students. The members all have different experiences in Ames and she felt the Committee is a good representation of different segments of the community. Ms. Betcher hoped that citizens would take advantage of speaking to the Committee Members to give additional input.

Moved by Betcher, seconded by Junck, to adopt RESOLUTION NO. 21-460 approving appointment of the Climate Action Plan Supplemental Input Committee Members.

Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Resolution declared adopted unanimously, signed by the Mayor, and hereby made a portion of these Minutes.

PUBLIC FORUM: Mayor Haila opened Public Forum.

Rick Exner, 1014 Murray Drive, Ames, stated at a recent City Council meeting the proposed work on trails at Brookside Park did not grab his attention, but since then he has heard speculation that the City might be planning something similar to the demolition on Ioway Creek on South Duff. He mentioned that the Ioway Creek is something that the citizens will have to live with now, but because it was preceded by the same technical-sounding spin he has heard about Brookside, he was concerned. He had read about the quote, “native vegetation” that will stabilize the streambank on South Duff. Mr. Exner wondered how many people on the City’s payroll have ever put on gloves to maintain “native vegetation,” let alone in a riparian setting. He stated that the City should expect every spring flood to deliver a new load of seed; Canada thistle, giant ragweed, cocklebur, and burdock to name a few, not to mention trees. Mr. Exner commented that Public Works staff doesn’t have the wherewithal to deal with the invasion of garlic mustard along the sidewalk on Grand Avenue. He anticipates that when South Duff gets sufficiently embarrassing, the City will resort to chemical control, which is adjacent to the waterway. Applying herbicide in the mixed stand of planted trees and native vegetation will be challenging, and one application a year will not control the Canada thistle. He stated that in a process termed succession, the plant populations naturally change over time toward a stable endpoint of so-called climax vegetation. Mr. Exner commented that the City had chosen a much earlier and less stable stage of succession for South Duff than the woodland that was there before. If the Council chose to do something similar to Brookside, the nature along the trails will be ruined. If snags and pools are leveled off in the name of speeding up the stream flow, wildlife habitats will be destroyed. He mentioned that creatures rely on dead trees and fallen logs that may be removed and this would put nature to death.

Rich Ketcham, 2923 Arbor Street, Ames, stated he was present to address the Council about the past weekend’s activities, also known as the “801” party. He wanted to let the Council know that this party resulted in excessive noise, property vandalism, littering, illegal parking (which reduced Arbor Street and Wood Street down to one lane), underage drinking, public intoxication, and the alleys were turned into a public “toilet.” Mr. Ketcham mentioned that he does have some pictures of these circumstances. He had attempted to intervene and asked the students not to utilize the alley as a restroom, but nothing would deter the students. He has lived on Arbor Street for about 35 years and noted that the current methodology for these acts is dispersal of the crowds and parties. Mr. Ketcham stated that those methods are not effective in preventing future occurrences. He invited the City to

explore more effective means and would like to be personally involved in those discussions. He explained that there was insufficient staff to respond to every situation that may arise. Mr. Ketcham had spoken with several police officers and it appeared to him that they were overwhelmed and could not effectively address the situation that had developed. A few ideas were offered to the Council and those were: 1) consider a ticket and tow policy similar to the snow ordinance; 2) stricter enforcement of laws that are already in the “book;” 3) mandatory counseling of noise ordinance that would be given by property owners or management companies that should be given as part of a lease agreement; and 4) to have the City Council consider the acquisition of the properties at Hyland and Lincoln Way with the express idea of moving the Fire Station to that location and establishing an auxiliary police presence in that location.

When no one else came forward to speak, the Mayor closed Public Forum.

HEARING ON DOWNTOWN REINVESTMENT DISTRICT URBAN RENEWAL AREA AND PLAN: Planning and Housing Director Kelly Diekmann explained that tonight the City Council is holding a hearing on the Urban Renewal Plan for the Downtown Reinvestment District Area. About a month ago the Council identified the boundaries coterminous with the application to the State for the Reinvestment District. It is necessary for the City to adopt an Urban Renewal Area and have an Urban Renewal Plan to proceed with the final application for the Reinvestment District, which is due by February 25, 2022. Staff has not received any input, to date, from the consultation meeting or the public regarding the Plan, and there have been no changes since the Plan was presented in July.

The Mayor opened the public hearing.

Hector Arbuckle, 519 Oliver Circle, Ames, stated he had read through the Urban Renewal Area proposal, and he was concerned by the idea that was proposed of the City funding developers to develop projects according to the developers who are not financially feasible without subsidies. Mr. Arbuckle asked, if the projects are not financially feasible, why the City is going to spend money in order to make them financially feasible. He inquired if it would be more optimal for those developers to find a way to make projects that are financially feasible. His other concern was that the City was giving itself the authority to purchase/demolish certain properties that are already financially feasible and replace them with things that are not feasible. Mr. Arbuckle commented that he is uneducated on this topic, but wanted to voice his concerns.

The Mayor closed the public hearing when no one else came forward to speak.

Mayor Haila asked City Manager Steve Schainker to address Mr. Arbuckle’s questions. Mr. Schainker replied that the item before the Council is to establish an Urban Renewal Area; there is not any specific project at this time. He thought Mr. Arbuckle was alluding to the Mixed-Use Building that may come before the Council; it is not uncommon for cities to provide some form of subsidy to developers. What is important to note is that if the Council wants to have efficient growth (infill), it is more costly to do that as opposed to going to the outskirts of Ames where there might be cheaper land. Since it is more costly for infill and redevelopment, the City Council will have to

step forward and add subsidies. Mr. Schainker noted that the City of Ames is very conservative on what it offers. Funding does not come out of taxpayers' money, but is usually done as some kind of abatement. It was explained that redevelopment not only brings in taxes, but the City of Ames is trying to build up its Downtown to be an attractive area for living, working, and entertainment. Director Diekmann stated that in regards to the property acquisition question, Urban Renewal law dictates how a City may acquire property. Mr. Diekmann mentioned that what the City is presenting stated that if the City were going to acquire property for Urban Renewal purposes, the City may acquire land, but it does not indicate which properties.

Moved by Corrieri, seconded by Beatty-Hansen, to adopt RESOLUTION NO. 21-467 adopting the Downtown Reinvestment District Urban Renewal Plan and establishing an Urban Renewal Area. Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Resolution declared adopted unanimously, signed by the Mayor, and hereby made a portion of these Minutes.

City Manager Schainker stated that he had given the Council a new document on the estimated costs of the Indoor Aquatic Center. As promised the City did get a second opinion on the estimated costs for the Indoor Aquatic Center. He reminded the Council that RDG is the consulting firm that developed the concept and RDQ gave an estimate in 2023 dollars of \$31.2 million. The City contracted with Stecker Harmsen for a second opinion. Stecker Harmsen gave the following estimates in 2023 dollars: 1) Two story building: \$30,073,228; 2) One story building: \$25,897,873; and, 3) One story building with addition: \$29,135,279. Mr. Schainker commented that the bottom line was that the project is still estimated to be around \$31.2 million as he wanted to keep the number conservative. The issuance of the General Obligation Renewal Bonds will be in an amount not to exceed \$21,200,000.

Council Member Betcher asked if the "one story with the addition" would be to build the addition at the same time as the main aquatic center and not wait to put on an addition. Mr. Schainker clarified that was correct.

Moved by Beatty-Hansen, seconded by Betcher , to adopt RESOLUTION NO. 21-468 setting the date of public hearing for September 14, 2021, to authorize the issuance of General Obligation Urban Renewal Bonds in an amount not to exceed \$21,200,000 for the Indoor Aquatics Center. Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Resolution declared adopted unanimously, signed by the Mayor, and hereby made a portion of these Minutes.

Assistant City Manager Brian Phillips explained that he wanted to review the next steps that will need to be taken to acquire the property for the Indoor Aquatic Center. He noted that this was informational only and no action was needed. Shown was an overview of the existing site at 122 North Oak Avenue, which is currently occupied by the Iowa Department of Transportation (IDOT). Mr. Phillips pointed out there were two occupied structures on the site: The building in the southeast corner of the lot houses a computer and conference space for the IDOT and an office leased to Iowa Workforce Development (IWD). The IDOT's lease with Iowa Workforce Development ends in mid-2023. The larger building is referred as the "North Annex." The IDOT is undertaking a renovation project at its main office south of Lincoln Way, which is expected to be completed in June 2024;

therefore, the building is intended to be used by the IDOT as “flex space” during the renovation project, with move-out completed in Fall 2024. Mr. Phillips mentioned that running east to west are power lines that will need to be addressed at a later time.

A preliminary appraisal of the property was completed last winter by an independent appraiser hired by the IDOT, and the land was appraised at \$2 million. Earlier this month, IDOT staff retained an appraiser to complete a more current appraisal. This appraisal is expected to be completed in September. The IDOT has a specific policy regarding how it can proceed to dispose of property that it no longer needs. The process is different for IDOT property than it is for IDOT right-of-ways. An image was shown that superimposed the potential Indoor Aquatic Center layout over the existing IDOT site. The expectation is the Aquatic Center will fill the southwest portion of the site. A major obstacle would be the existing A/C unit that would be in the middle of the Aquatic Center building, but the two other major buildings on the site are outside the footprint. Mr. Phillips stated that there were two approaches that the City could take; optimally the City would find a way to accommodate the IDOT’s needs for the spaces in some other way and have the IDOT vacate the entire site. If that is not possible then: 1) the footprint may need to be adjusted as to where the Aquatics Center would be located and how the features inside the structure would lay out to make sure it was clear of other structures on the site; 2) the A/C unit would need to be temporarily relocated, which will come at a cost, in order to still provide service to the North Annex building; and 3) sort out some solutions for if DOT staff will continue to use the site; where are they going to park, and how can the City be a good neighbor during construction. He reminded the Council that these issues do not need to be resolved now, but staff wanted to make the Council aware of what was happening.

Assistant City Manager Brian Phillips stated that the next item on the Agenda for this section contains the preliminary expenses for the project. The City is expecting to hire a consulting firm to perform a Phase 1 Environmental Assessment of the site. If there is anything in the Assessment that is of concern, the City may need to take more intensive steps to do sampling or analysis on the site itself.

Council Member Beatty-Hansen mentioned that the second floor of the Indoor Aquatic Center would have a walking track, but there was a suggestion that some money could be saved by moving the walking track to the ground floor. She wanted to know how that would affect the layout. Parks & Recreation Director Keith Abraham explained that if they moved the walking track to the ground floor, it would be added to the east side of the Aquatic Center and the Iowa Workforce Development building would have to be demolished before construction could be started.

Mr. Phillips mentioned that the funding for the items that need to be completed before submitting the application for the Reinvestment District Incentive Program was unbudgeted and will come from the Hotel/Motel Tax Fund. City Manager Schainker pointed out that the first three tasks on the Staff Report were done quickly in order to get the preliminary application completed. The City will need to rehire the development team in order to prepare the final analysis. He reminded the Council that these items were unbudgeted and these funds will be taken out of the Economic Development portion of the available balance of the Hotel/Motel Tax balance.

HEARING ON PROPOSAL TO ADOPT, BY REFERENCE, THE 2021 EDITION OF THE UNIFORM PLUMBING CODE AND THE 2021 EDITION OF THE INTERNATIONAL MECHANICAL CODE WITH STATE AND LOCAL AMENDMENTS: Building Official Sara VanMeeteren stated that, as she had mentioned previously, the State requires these updates to be adopted. The City can have local amendments, but they can not be less restrictive than the State. During the clean-up of the Code, staff had taken many local amendments out as they would have been repeated in the States Amendments. While there is not a change in the local requirement, one revision that was proposed was the movement of the local Cross-Connection Control Amendments as they currently exist from Chapter 5 (Plumbing and other Codes) of the *Ames Municipal Code* to Chapter 28 (Utilities) of the *Ames Municipal Code*, creating a new Section 28.209B.

The public hearing was opened by the Mayor. He closed the hearing after no one asked to speak.

Moved by Corrieri, seconded by Betcher, to pass on first reading an ordinance adopting, by reference, the 2021 Edition of the Uniform Plumbing Code and the 2021 Edition of the International Mechanical Code with State and local amendments, including the movement of the Cross-Connection Control requirements to Chapter 28 of the *Ames Municipal Code*.

Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

Moved by Betcher, seconded by Junck, to pass on first reading an ordinance inserting a new Section 28.209B to adopt the Cross-Connection Control standards of the 2021 Uniform Plumbing Code along with existing local amendments that are being relocated from Chapter 5.

Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

HEARING ON 2021/22 SHARED USE PATH MAINTENANCE PROJECT: Mayor Haila opened the public hearing and closed it when no one came forward to speak.

Moved by Beatty-Hansen, seconded by Betcher, to adopt RESOLUTION NO. 21-469 approving the final plans and specifications and awarding a contract to Mid-Iowa Enterprises, LLC, from Story City, Iowa, in the amount of \$277,262.

Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Resolution declared adopted unanimously, signed by the Mayor, and hereby made a portion of these Minutes.

ADAPTIVE KAYAK LAUNCH: Parks and Recreation Director Keith Abraham stated that there has been a group that has been pushing for the Adaptive Kayak Launch called Access Ada Hayden (AAH). Director Abraham explained that between staff's research and AAH's they found that the BoardSafe Adaptive Kayak Launch from Northeast Product and Service's met the criteria that everyone was looking for. The group was looking for a company that offered: 1) a boat chute to facilitate the movement of a boat from shore to a transfer point; 2) a transfer bench with a slide-out platform; and, 3) a wide variety of hand holds (grab rails and straps) to assist in transferring.

Council Member Junck asked if the \$54,850 was the exact quote for the Contract. Mr. Abraham

confirmed that was correct. There were three components to the final price: 1) about \$46,000 for the equipment; 2) around \$4,000 for delivery; and, 3) another \$4,000 to have a representative on-site from BoardSafe during the installation to make sure the project is done correctly.

The Mayor opened public input.

David DenHaan, 1804 Maxwell Avenue, Ames, stated that he was speaking in favor of the proposal to go with the BoardSafe project to have access at Ada Hayden. He mentioned that he is with Access Ada Hayden (AAH) and he personally went to Ohio to look at this product. Mr. DenHaan noted that it had all three features that the group was looking for. AAH had advertised the BoardSafe product on its flyer when they were doing their fundraising.

Public Input was closed when no one else came up to speak

Moved by Junck, seconded by Betcher, to adopt RESOLUTION NO. 21-470 waiving the Purchasing Policies and Procedures for the Adaptive Kayak Launch.

Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Resolution declared adopted unanimously, signed by the Mayor, and hereby made a portion of these Minutes.

Moved by Junck, seconded by Betcher, to adopt RESOLUTION NO. 21-471 awarding a sole-source contract to Northeast Products and Services of Fleetwood, Pennsylvania, to purchase a BoardSafe Adaptive Kayak Launch in the amount of \$54,850.

Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Resolution declared adopted unanimously, signed by the Mayor, and hereby made a portion of these Minutes.

AMES PLAN 2040: Planning and Housing Director Kelly Diekmann explained that before the Council was the most up-to-date draft of the Ames Plan 2040. Staff had cleaned up a lot of the formatting, typo issues, updated the consistency of the document, and addressed the Council's comments. Director Diekmann asked for any final adjustments to the draft Ames Plan 2040 before staff moved forward with publishing the Plan for public comment. Once direction has been given to move forward, staff will take all of September to give opportunities for public comment. The Ames Plan 2040 will be posted on the Planning's website where citizens can give public feedback with staff or online. Director Diekmann mentioned that after cataloging the public's comments the draft Plan would be brought before the Council in October for any further adjustments and hopefully begin the final adoption process in November.

Council Member Betcher commented that she had received some questions related to the Hospital/Medical Special Area on page 67 that was added to the draft Plan. She noted she hadn't gotten back to everyone yet, but has been telling the constituents "we will take input from you and to please give us their input on the overlay if that is what you are concerned about." She asked if that was the correct answer to give the constituents. Director Diekmann confirmed that was correct. Ms. Betcher mentioned that one of the questions about the new page was "why isn't there a map associated with it similar to the Land Use Policy Plan (LUPP) that showed the boundaries." She

assumed it was because the boundaries are shown on the main map, and asked if it was possible to reference the map on the page. Director Diekmann stated that everything that is on the pages are on the map and if they added that to this page they would have to do the same throughout the entire document. Ms. Betcher noted that there was one typo on Page 67 under Public Actions that states “This may inquire zoning changes...” and she thought it should say “require” instead of “inquire.” Mr. Diekmann explained that a few other typos were pointed out to staff and they will try to get all of them corrected before the draft Plan is published. Overall Ms. Betcher indicated that she was happy with the changes and felt it was more consistent; she appreciated everyone’s work.

Council Member Martin noted this was a better draft and the draft is in pretty good shape to go out. He had two issues that he wanted to bring up dealing with wording and the meaning of the wording. The issues were on Page 34 of the draft. Under “Planning For Equity,” Council Member Martin wanted to be very careful about appearing to over-promise on issues that are both sensitive and important to Council. Under the Planning and Equity title the current draft states that “Future development will ensure the just and fair inclusion of all residents to participate in the planning process and the benefits of life in Ames,” he was not sure that they want to say “ensure” the outcome, but this is a problem that will require work over the years.

Moved by Martin, seconded by Beatty-Hansen, directing staff to change the word “ensure” to “prioritize” in the statement under the heading for “Planning for Equity” on Page 34.

Director Diekmann stated before the Council votes, he wanted to let them know that staff had looked at this statement and had come up with a different phrase to use; “The City will work to include the diverse voices, opinions, and needs of the range of residents that call Ames home.” Mr. Diekmann explained this statement will imply that the City will reach out to a diverse set in the community and look to include them in the process. Council Member Martin commented that he liked Director Diekmann’s recommendation better than his motion.

Council Member Martin withdrew his motion.

Moved by Junck, seconded by Beatty-Hansen, to support the statement from Director Diekmann to change on Page 34 of the Draft under “Planning For Equity” to say “The City will work to include the diverse voices, opinions, and needs of the range of residents that call Ames home.”

Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

Council Member Martin explained that on Page 34 under “Review and Approval Process,” Item G5-5, he was concerned with the claim to be able to provide the part of the claim that states the City will provide “full information about the future use of undeveloped or latter phase sites and future public improvements.” Director Diekmann commented that he would agree that providing full information is not measurable and recommended striking the word “full” as that would be satisfactory for staff’s intent. Mr. Martin agreed that striking the word “full” would work.

Moved by Betcher, seconded by Beatty-Hansen, to strike the word “full” from G5-5, on Page 34,

under “Review and Approval Process” in the draft Ames Plan 2040.
Vote on Motion:6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

Mayor Haila asked what the process would be for notifying the citizens of Ames about the draft Ames Plan 2040. Director Diekmann stated that a few ideas that staff had were to send an email to the list of people whom they have in their database, Press Releases, notifications on the City’s website, in-person feedback events, and online meetings.

Moved by Beatty-Hansen, seconded by Betcher, to direct staff to start the public comment period that will go through September for the Ames Plan 2040, as amended.
Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

STAFF REPORT REGARDING ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT TO ALLOW FOR AN INCREASE IN LIMITED COMMERCIAL USE IN F-PRD PLANNED RESIDENCE DISTRICT: City Planner Benjamin Campbell mentioned this item comes from Steve Burgason of Ansley Ames Development Group. Mr. Burgason wanted a residential development with a small commercial component. In discussion with staff, it was determined that the Floating Planned Residence District (F-PRD) would be the best way to achieve Mr. Burgason’s goals. Mr. Campbell stated that the F-PRD does have a very limited commercial allowance. If the developer produces a study that shows that the commercial development can be supported by the F-PRD alone, the commercial is allowed. To date this type of study has never been done; therefore, the applicant requested that the City amend the F-PRD.

Staff had proposed four options. Option 1 was to amend the F-PRD Standards to allow for a fixed list of office and trade uses for up to 18,000 square feet. Mr. Campbell indicated that 18,000 is the size that the applicant thought would cover all of the intended uses. If the Council decided to proceed with this option then staff would need to craft language for commercial uses to guide the suitability and compatibility within a F-PRD and to modify the principles to address the uses allowed. This type of change would be applicable to every development with a PRD, not just Ansley. Option 2 was similar to Option 1, but established a different maximum size. It would adopt 35,000 square feet of commercial uses that addresses the Ansley request and allows for a development of a size that is similar to the lower end of expectations within a Convenience Commercial Node, and would be more appropriate for Neighborhood Commercial. Staff believed this option would be the maximum square footage allowance without considering the Commercial Node policies about siting commercial uses that apply throughout the City. Option 3 was to amend the F-PRD Standards to allow for project-by-project commercial uses and individual development standards of up to 35,000 square feet. Option 4 was to amend the PUD Overlay Standards to allow for limited commercial uses and individual development standards of up to 35,000 square feet.

Director Diekmann explained that staff believed that a little more flexibility in the language would be appropriate to address the change in times. He mentioned that staff could support any of the four options, and needed direction from the Council.

Council Member Gartin stated this was a hard type for Council to think through because they are always trying to tease out the law of unintended consequences and worst case scenarios. He asked if there were any risks with any of the four options. Director Diekmann mentioned that staff's first concern was any existing PRD's that are already in place across the City, but that concern can be addressed by how the amendment process is intended to guide someone or at least set up why it wouldn't be appropriate. Mr. Gartin asked how the zoning classification would interface with restrictive covenants that any Subdivision would have in place. Mr. Diekmann stated it would not overrule a private restriction.

Council Member Gartin inquired if staff had looked to see what other peer communities were doing. Director Diekmann stated staff did not review other cities' ordinances to see what they are doing as, traditionally, Ames deals with smaller lots of land.

Mayor Haila wanted to know what the minimum number of acres would be in a PRD. Mr. Diekmann commented that the Code minimum is two acres. The Mayor indicated that if the option was to do a F-PRD for 35,000 square feet and a developer came in with a PRD they could possibly slip in a decent size commercial project on a PRD. Director Diekmann stated that it would be in the high end of floor area ratios and there would have to be housing as well; the only way to do this would be with a Mixed-Use project. Mayor Haila asked if there were any PRDs that were not finished that could be retroactive into this PRD. Mr. Diekmann mentioned that he is only aware of one on Mortensen Road, but even if it was unbuilt the developer could still come forward and ask for an amendment, which would go before the Council as a Major Amendment.

Council Member Betcher asked why staff had initially advised against the PUD option when that seems to be something that is not under development. Director Diekmann stated that it was discussed that a PUD does not address the issue of commercial properties at all, and he didn't think that the first PUD project that the Council saw was to bring up an issue that was never previously discussed. He noted that staff is not opposed to changing the PUD Overlay, but did not want to bring a project before the Council that had never been contemplated possibly being able to be done as a PUD Overlay. There is not a formal Development Plan for Ansley Development and staff felt that if Council approached it through the PUD Overlay, Ansley Development would be find under that Overlay; however, staff had not spent a lot of time looking at that option as they didn't believe the PUD addressed everything the applicant wanted as it is currently written.

Council Member Gartin mentioned that he was leaning towards Option 2 as it offered some control and some certainty that provides the residents that the fixed list of offices and trades provides, but it would be desirable by Council to have a cursory glance at what other communities are doing. Director Diekmann mentioned staff can easily review other Cities PUD Ordinances, but it will be hard to get the full context of all the planning tools and mechanisms, but will do the best they can. Mr. Gartin noted he would defer to staff as to whether that would be a review of a Code or a phone call to a peer in another community.

Council Member Betcher wanted to know if Option 2 would be something that the Council could

choose if they were interested in having a proportion of the PUD that would be allowed to be commercial. She was concerned about having an overbalance of commercial versus residential in some of the possible configurations of the PUD. Director Diekmann stated that if the Council wanted to have scalability, that would apply to any of the four options.

Council Member Martin mentioned that he liked Option 4, and understood why the PUD option was not brought up to Mr. Burgason initially, but felt that the reason the Council pursued the PUD in the first place was to allow for reasonable relaxation to policy in a conscientious way.

Council Member Gartin stated there was some appeal to Option 4 for him, but he was a little worried that if the Council made certain developments contingent upon Council approval that future Council Members may be inconsistent with how they approach the PUD. Mr. Diekmann explained that he believes that developers will want it and most Cities use PUD to allow for flexibility. He noted that between the PRD and PUD, whichever zone the Council chooses to edit, the types of edits are going to be the same as neither of them directly deal with commercial. PRD principles would need to be addressed to deal with some element of commercial and how that relates to housing. The list of uses or the square footage would need to be adjusted, and those same types of edits would have to be placed into the PUD Overlay.

Moved Martin, seconded by Junck, to approve Option 4, which was to direct staff to amend the PUD Overlay Standards to allow for limited commercial uses and individual development standards of up to 35,000 square feet.

The Mayor opened public comment.

Steve Burgason, 3314 Cedar Lane, Ames, stated that with this particular kind of development with pocket neighborhoods, what drove them to consider and request a small commercial area that would be an event center was the limited parking in this kind of development. When looking at graduation parties or wedding receptions, they wanted to look at a space that could accommodate 75-100 people. This is very difficult to put into the size of the lots and accommodate parking. It made sense to them to look at the space along the bike trail and attempt to have a multi-use so it would accommodate the traffic that would come from the bike trail. This change would allow them to add a commissary that could handle food for events or receptions. He noted that they would have some live/work spaces and gave an example of a photographer living upstairs and having a studio on the first floor. Mr. Burgason mentioned that it would be a great space for people to rent to share their wares in a bigger format to allow parking to come in. It is primarily designed to service the development, but also recognizing that it's at the edge of the Resource Park and the bike trail and there will be other people coming in. The concept was to have 9,000 square feet on the first and second floor for a total of 18,000 square feet.

The Mayor closed public comment when no one else came forward to speak.

Council Member Betcher asked if it was assumed with Option 4 that there will be a list of potential uses. Council Member Martin mentioned that Option 4 states "limited commercial uses and

individual development standards.” He stated that during discussion he understood it to be for office and trade uses. Director Diekmann commented that it would be his preference that there is some defined range of commercial if the Council is going to allow them in a PUD.

Mayor Haila asked if the scalability or size of a project negated under Option 4. Director Diekmann stated there is a two-acre minimum threshold for PUD, and if the Council is concerned about any property the scalability would apply. Council Member Junck stated that with Option 4, the uses and development standards would then be determined by Council on a case-by-case approval of the PUD and if the scalability was an issue the Council could say “no.” Director Diekmann confirmed that was correct.

Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

Director Diekmann wanted to clarify that under staff comments the intent was that the Amendment would only proceed if Mr. Burgason applied for it with his Development Application and asked if that still stood or did the Council want staff to initiate the amendment to the PUD Overlay Standards as a separate activity. Council Member Betcher inquired how much work would be involved. Mr. Diekmann noted it would take the same amount of time either way, but it was up to Council if they wanted to amend the PUD Overlay in advance because they felt it was of city-wide importance and not for one person or would the Council prefer to wait until someone is authorized to do it. Council Member Gartin commented that generally the Council tries to avoid doing major improvements or changes due to one party; however, if the Council believes in the change, they should move forward with the change regardless if there is an application pending. Council Member Betcher agreed; however, she still wanted to know how much time it would take as she may make a different decision if it is going to “bump” something else from Planning’s priorities. Director Diekmann noted that it is not going to “bump” something else as he is not committing to anything in September as staff is busy with the Ames 2040 Plan and there are no other initiatives that are going to be started until October 2021.

Moved by Betcher, seconded by Corrieri, to direct staff to move forward with the recommended changes even if the Burgason application does not come forward.

Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

STAFF REPORT REGARDING REQUEST TO AMEND THE AMES URBAN FRINGE PLAN REGARDING THE NATURAL AREA DESIGNATION RELATED TO THE AREA LOCATED AT 4909 W 190TH STREET: Planning and Housing Director Kelly Diekmann mentioned that the City Council had received a memo from staff in response to the request by the Cackler family to use three of its properties to develop two additional homes. The request as described was vague as the Cacklers had mentioned that they want to do whatever they have to in order to move forward. Director Diekmann noted that it was difficult to gauge what they should be responding to. Mr. Diekmann stated the Staff Report tried to define the core options for the Council. It was noted that the Council had received correspondence earlier today asking to do a boundary line adjustment for the properties, and this was something that staff had not contemplated yet. Staff had been in contact with the County, and even though the properties are within the Fringe Plan, a lot of

the decision will come down to the County as the City will not approve any of the houses. It is a question of Policy and whether Story County wants to follow the Policy. Mr. Diekmann mentioned that at this time this issue is about 98% Story County issues and 2% of the City. It was noted that Option 1 was to designate all three properties as Rural Residential; Option 2 was to do a Text Amendment to modify Policies for the Natural Areas, and Option 3 was to maintain current Policies.

Mayor Haila opened public comment.

Bob Goodwin, 2211 Philadelphia Street, Ames, stated he was the attorney for the Cacklers. Mr. Goodwin handed out to each member of the Council a map of the proposed area. He explained that Mr. Cackler and he had spoken with Amelia Schoeneman and Marcus Amman with the Story County Planning Department. Mr. Goodwin mentioned that Story County explained to them that Parcel 1 and Parcel 2 with a house on Parcel 2 could be developed and be done without having to do an Amendment to the Ames Urban Fringe Plan. It was explained that the Amendment to the Ames Urban Fringe Plan would not go into effect until the Cacklers wanted to develop Parcel 3 with another house. Mr. Goodwin mentioned that City Planner Justin Moore was aware of the idea of doing a boundary line adjustment and was in agreement with the idea. The City would need an application for or a Sketch Plan to do the boundary line adjustment. The Cacklers have decided to pursue the boundary line adjustment, so nothing further needs to be done at this time from the City.

Public comment was closed when no one else came up to speak.

Council Member Gartin asked procedurally what the next step would be. Mr. Diekmann stated this was unique as there was already a formal request on file for the Amendment to the Ames Urban Fringe Plan. He indicated that the Sketch Plan is something that is done administratively. The City and County will both review its Codes and will determine how to proceed. A Plat of Survey will more than likely need to be completed. Director Diekmann indicated that there will still be a Policy that will need to be resolved regarding the Natural Area and this will probably come back before the Council during the boundary line adjustment process. He mentioned that there is not a guarantee that the boundary line adjustment would be approved.

Moved by Beatty-Hansen, seconded by Corrieri, to approve Option 3 to maintain current policies. Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

AMENDMENT TO RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS FOR THE AMES COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PARK SUBDIVISION, FOURTH ADDITION: The Mayor opened public comment and closed it when no one came forward to speak.

Moved by Corrieri, seconded by Betcher, to adopt RESOLUTION NO. 21-472 approving the Amendment to the Restrictive Covenants for the Ames Community Development Park Subdivision, Fourth Addition.

Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Resolution declared adopted unanimously, signed by the Mayor, and hereby made a portion of these Minutes.

MOTION APPROVING/DENYING RENEWAL OF CLASS C LIQUOR LICENSE WITH SUNDAY SALES - LA FIESTA BAR & GRILL, 823 WHEELER STREET, SUITE 5: Police Commander Jason Tuttle mentioned there were two violations during compliance checks at La Fiesta Bar & Grill. It was noted that it was the same employee that sold alcohol to a minor. Commander Tuttle stated that at this time, the Police Department would not recommend a renewal of La Fiesta Bar & Grill's license for the next year, but would recommend that a six-month license be put in place. He noted that he had spoken with the owner of the establishment and the owner is in contact with the Alcoholic Beverage Division (ABD) about his civil penalty and a one-month suspension of its license.

Commander Tuttle let the Council know that there were six different businesses that had sold alcohol to minors and this exact situation will be coming before the Council again.

Moved by Beatty-Hansen, seconded by Betcher, to deny the renewal of the Class C Liquor License with Sunday Sales for La Fiesta Bar & Grill at 823 Wheeler Street, Suite 5.

Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

MOTION APPROVING/DENYING RENEWAL OF CLASS C LIQUOR LICENSE WITH SUNDAY SALES - EL MAGUEY MEXICAN RESTAURANT, 217 S DUFF AVENUE: Police Commander Jason Tuttle mentioned that El Maguey had two violations in April and June, 2021, and at this time, the Police Department would not recommend a renewal of El Maguey Mexican Restaurant's license for the next year.

The Mayor asked if it was known where the breakdown was since it was indicated that there were six businesses that had two violations. He wondered if it was due to lack of training, just being careless, or being overcrowded with people. Commander Tuttle explained that there was a large number of businesses that failed its first compliance check and when they went back several had improved except six businesses. He mentioned that it is up to the employees to take the initiative to check IDs, and there is an App available to help with the process, along with training.

Moved by Beatty-Hansen, seconded by Corrieri, to deny the renewal of the Class C Liquor License with Sunday Sales for El Maguey Mexican Restaurant at 217 S. Duff Avenue.

Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

Council Member Gartin asked if the Council was going to be consistent with the other businesses and not approve a yearly renewal could those be put on the Consent Agenda. Commander Tuttle mentioned that the Police Department also looks at other violations for any businesses and a memo will be sent ahead of time, and there could be other issues. Mr. Gartin noted he would refer to staff as to how any future requests are placed on an Agenda.

Council Member Betcher asked what the process was to let the businesses know that they were denied a renewal. Commander Tuttle stated that staff notifies the businesses to let them know if the renewal is denied and to apply for a six-month license, and then the Police Department will help

with education and training during the following six months.

It was the consensus of the Council to leave these types of requests under Police Administration on the Agenda.

FLOOD MITIGATION - RIVER FLOODING (LAND ACQUISITION): Municipal Engineer Tracy Peterson mentioned that before the Council are some of the land acquisitions for the Flood Mitigation Project at South Duff Avenue and Ioway Creek. Overall there are 11 parcels that staff is continuing to negotiate with, plus two tenants. Currently, before the Council there are six full or partial acquisitions that have been completed and signed. Ms. Peterson noted that she had an update on Parcel 3 as they have the signatures from Kenneth and Marjory Howe and are just waiting on the tenant to sign. Staff will continue to negotiate the rest of the land acquisitions and will be before the Council at another time. This project was started several years ago and the project started in 2015/16 with a bond issuance and working on FEMA applications. It was noted that the land acquisition costs had gone up and that is reflective of redevelopment and land sales in the South Duff area. Staff is estimating around \$3 million in construction and there may be about a \$500,000 short-fall. Ms. Peterson noted that earlier tonight the Council approved the completion of the Homewood Slope project and that project had about \$500,000 in savings. The savings from the Homewood Slope project could be used for the Iowa Creek project. As the project goes out for bid, staff will do everything they can to help get better bids.

City Manager Schainker mentioned that some people have asked why all the wood was not removed from the site when the trees were cut down and asked Ms. Peterson to explain. Ms. Peterson stated they will be incorporating some of the trees into the project. The contractors will be given the option to utilize the wood in the project or have the wood removed. She noted that staff is still working on the design for replanting, but there will be some native species planted back into the area. Also being incorporated will be pollinator species that will help with some of the endangered bumble bees that have been spotted throughout town. Ms. Peterson indicated they will have a green and colorful corridor.

Council Member Gartin asked to clarify the trails and access to them in this area. Ms. Peterson stated that in the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) there is a plan to add benching to the area along the north side and the land acquisition is continuing so a shared use path will get designed and constructed along Ioway Creek once this project is completed.

Mayor Haila noted the construction estimate is \$3.5 million and wanted to know if that included the tree clearing that was already done. Ms. Peterson explained that staff is still in the design phase and trying to figure out the total cost and that is why she had indicated it was an estimate, and with the FEMA Grant, the tree removal was considered part of the construction. The Mayor wanted to know how comfortable the consultant was with the budget considering all the increased costs, and wanted to know what the contingency was if the project came in way over budget. Ms. Peterson stated that this project does not have a lot of materials, and overall the construction timeline will be the biggest issue. The FEMA Agreement had a completion date of June 2022 and with the land acquisition

taking longer Ms. Peterson has been in conversation with FEMA to see about getting an extension due to the need for better pricing. It was asked if there are other avenues to look at to get additional funding. Ms. Peterson noted she could explore some other ideas and other projects and see what they can find.

The Mayor opened public comment. It was then closed when no one came forward to speak.

Moved by Betcher, seconded by Junck, to adopt RESOLUTION NO. 21-573 approving the Purchase Agreements for parcels, as follows:

- Parcel 1: FHS Ames 2, L.P. - \$139,150 (full parcel acquisition)
- Parcel 2: EM Hunziker, LLC. - \$7,150 (partial acquisition)
- Parcel 4: Hyland Heights Apartments, LLC - \$17,650 (partial acquisition)
- Parcel 8: MKTM, LLC - \$564,150 (full parcel acquisition)
- Parcel 9: AMERCO Real Estate Company - \$48,270 (permanent easement)
- Parcel 11: MKTM, LLC - \$13,850 (permanent easement)

Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Resolution declared adopted unanimously, signed by the Mayor, and hereby made a portion of these Minutes.

STAFF REPORT REGARDING COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT - PHASE 2: City Manager Steve Schainker mentioned that in January 2020, the City Council and Iowa State University's Department of Community and Regional Planning (CRP) agreed to collaborate on tasks that would help the City Council members accomplish one of their goals to "use the best communication engagement techniques and modern technologies to engage the community by reaching people in geographic areas using multiple channels." The next phase will be done by an independent study class who plan to organize and host a festival entitled, "Play Ames: Imagine Your City," on September 25, 2021. The festival approach was selected to be tested based on the assumption that "through playfulness trust and connections are established, and one can discuss more serious topics after or during playful activities designed to engage all residents." The group had designated four locations to hold the festival with different activities planned for each of the sites. The locations are: Reliable Street (Lockwood Café), Franklin Park, East 7th Street, and Downtown. Mr. Schainker commented that ISU students would like the City Council and City staff to be present at all four sites in order to interact with the participants and answer questions about issues of interest to the participants. The students are also requesting to use the City Logo for promoting the event.

Council Member Betcher felt this was a great opportunity to engage more students and has the potential to touch on a lot of things that the City is doing, but along with the fun and the games they want to have the informational component to help make connections with the officials of the City.

Council Member Gartin inquired if there was a rain date. Mr. Schainker commented that there is not one at this time.

Moved by Betcher, seconded by Corrieri, to support the community engagement event and allow Iowa State University's Department of Community and Regional Planning team to use the City Logo

Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

ORDINANCE ASSIGNING APPROVED ANNEXED AREAS TO WARDS AND PRECINCTS: City Attorney Mark Lambert stated that following an Annexation, the City needs to assign the newly annexed territory to the appropriate ward and precinct for location election purposes as required by *Code of Iowa* Section 49.8(2). He mentioned that staff was requesting to pass on first reading and to suspend the rules necessary to pass on the second reading, third reading and adoption of the Ordinance pertaining to assigning the approved annexed areas to wards and precincts.

Mayor Haila opened public input and closed it when no one came forward.

Moved by Corrieri, seconded by Betcher, to pass on first reading an ordinance assigning the approved annexed areas to Wards and Precincts.

Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously

Moved by Corrieri, seconded by Betcher, to suspend the rules necessary for the adoption of an ordinance.

Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

Moved by Corrieri, seconded by Beatty-Hansen, to pass on second and third readings and adopt ORDINANCE NO. 4444 assigning the approved annexed areas to Wards and Precincts.

Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Ordinance declared adopted unanimously, signed by the Mayor, and hereby made a portion of these Minutes.

ORDINANCE REZONING THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 525-6TH STREET FROM RESIDENTIAL MEDIUM DENSITY (RM) TO SPECIAL PURPOSE GOVERNMENT/AIRPORT DISTRICT (SGA): Moved by Beatty-Hansen, seconded by Betcher, to pass on second reading an ordinance rezoning the property located at 525-6th Street from Residential Medium Density (RM) to Special Purpose Government/Airport District (SGA).

Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

ORDINANCE AMENDING URBAN DEER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM REGARDING REPORTING REQUIREMENT: Moved by Junck, seconded by Betcher, to pass on third reading and adopt ORDINANCE NO. 4443 amending the Urban Deer Management Program regarding reporting requirement.

Roll Call Vote: 6-0. Ordinance declared adopted unanimously, signed by the Mayor, and hereby made a portion of these Minutes.

DISPOSITION OF COMMUNICATION TO COUNCIL: The Mayor mentioned there were three items to review. The first item was a letter from Mark H. Tompkins inquiring about the economic conditions for the City of Ames.

Moved by Beatty-Hansen, seconded by Corrieri, to not take an action on Mr. Tompkins letter.

Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

The second item was a memo from Mark Lambert, City Attorney, regarding a conflict in the *Ames Municipal Code* for the Mary Greeley Medical Center Board of Trustees terms of office.

Moved by Beatty-Hansen, seconded by Betcher, to direct staff to clean up the language, as appropriate.

Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

The last item was a letter from Nancy Carroll, Executive Director of Heartland Senior Services, requesting to address land and building ownership concerns for the property at 205 S. Walnut Avenue.

Moved by Corrieri, seconded by Beatty-Hansen to place the request from Nancy Carroll on a future agenda.

Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

COUNCIL COMMENTS: Council Member Betcher mentioned that the City had received some moderately good news about the census. The City did grow a little bit, and she was thankful the City did not lose the many thousands of people that the City thought it would.

Council Member Junck mentioned that this morning a couple of Council Members, City staff, and volunteers worked on repainting the inclusive crosswalk by the Library. She thought it was a great opportunity to have one Downtown and she would like to pursue an inclusive crosswalk for Campustown.

Moved by Junck, seconded by Beatty-Hansen, to direct City staff to work on a plan to have an inclusive crosswalk in Campustown (Welch and Chamberlain) and direct the *ex-officio* to get students involved in the project.

Vote on Motion: 6-0. Motion declared carried unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT: Moved by Betcher to adjourn the meeting at 8:29 p.m.

Amy L. Colwell, Deputy City Clerk

John A. Haila, Mayor

Diane R. Voss, City Clerk